RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

REFERENCE	I0196WG_1029_2
STATUS	FOR DISCUSSION

I0196 WG – SOLUTION DEVELOPMENT #1

BY LYDIA BENTLEY

FOR DISCUSSION

1 PURPOSE

This paper aims to achieve the following:

- Facilitate initial development of the agreed upon Solution options.

2 SOLUTION DEVELOPMENT

The following solution options will be discussed and explored within the scope of the Working Group:

2.1 METERING ASSET: DATA TRANSFER PROCESS WEAKNESSES

This solution option will look into process weakness areas for Metering Asset and meter read transfers between Parties and how these might be addressed.

2.2 APPROACH TO VALIDATION

This solution option will look into the validation of RGMA Market Messages by the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP). Specifically, this will explore:

- what validation is necessary;
- the purpose of new validation;
- what should be adopted on a “process handshake” level; and
- whether we should explore a universal validation approach.

2.3 ROLE OF DATA CLEANSE ACTIVITY

This solution option will explore the role of a data cleanse within the resolution of RGMA Market Messages. This will explore how and when a data cleanse might be applied, for example, should this be conducted once the underlying issues have been resolved, or should it be considered a resolution in it’s own right?

2.4 DIGITAL DATA REPOSITORY

This solution option will explore introducing a digital data repository to assist with the identification of attributes of different Metering Asset types, to assist with the resolution of Rejections. This repository may include:

- Pictures of the Metering Asset;
- List of Metering Asset attributes;
- Functionality to allow for “look ups” of know attributes to assist in Metering Asset identification; and
- A use for “field” pre-population or validation.

2.5 INCORRECT RECIPIENTS – MAMS

This solution option will whether Data Item mismatches may stem from RGMA Market Messages being sent to incorrect MAM recipients.

2.6 REJECTION OF MAM CHANGE OF APPOINTMENT STATUS

This solution option will explore focussing rejections in response specifically to MAM appointment and de-appointment RGMA flows. This represents a potential expansion of scope of the I0196 issue.

3 NEXT STEPS

- Continue to develop solution options that the WG consider viable;
- Rule out solution options that the WG do not consider viable; and
- Determine the date of WG Meeting No. Three.