I0278_WG0818_Slides

18 - 25 Aug 2026

Poll results

slido

Table of contents

- What evidence should be captured from each isolation visit?
- How should the information about failed tests or unsafe conditions be recorded and reported?
- Are there any other points that have not been mentioned about equipment and testing you would like to add?
- If a DNO begins to utilise new versions of equipment, how should this be notified to relevant parties (SIPs/MEMs)?
- What form of sealing methodology should be utilised by the SIP?

What evidence should be captured from each isolation visit?

0 1 0

(1/2)

- Time date seal evidence only. Anything else by exception only
- Before and after photos uploaded to central register, characteristics of all equipment, serial numbers available. Ultimately a clear standardised approach needs to be established.
- Evidence of any mitigation or intervention. Unique sealing plier number left on seals to be discussed Picture requirement to be discussed and critical safety points determined
- Any evidence of potential energy theft?
- Possibly vulnerability/energy poverty
- Fuse rating
- Photographic evidence of the main fuse carrier and ration, condition of the installation, presence of isolator, incoming cabling, MET, meter type and condition
- Customer characteristics? Is vulnerability something the SIP would capture?
- Date, time, mpan & address

What evidence should be captured from each isolation visit?

0 1 0

(2/2)

- Photos / video
- Time date amd any issues effecting safety. dno codes meter defects tamper etc
- Time, date, condition of equipment and an image as left
- Before and after photos of equipment
- Seal ID (pending agreement/discussion)

How should the information about failed tests or unsafe conditions be recorded and reported?

0 0 8

(1/3)

- utilise existing report codes where possible, signs of tampering/illegal abstraction should be identified and reported to appropriate organisations (DNO/supplier) rather than investigated. Not their job or liability. Damage depends on what is broke, either DSO/DNO, Supplier or tennant/homeowners/owner if their equipment.
- ESQCR reports also where apllicable
- Unsafe equipment must not be energised A warning notice should be issued The consumer must be given a clear explanation Referal to a competant electrician should be recorded Any disconnection or non-energisation decision should be evidenced
- 1. Asset ownership 2. Immediate level of danger 3. Whether the sip is competant and authorised to make safe 4.

How should the information about failed tests or unsafe conditions be recorded and reported?

0 0 8

(2/3)

Whether continuing would disturb evidence or increase risk 5. Whether the asset owner can respond in a reasinable time.

- MOA, DNO's and suppliers need to be informed so data flow would be best IMO
- I think the real qhestion is what is found and thw sla for safe resolution
- Dependant on the Unsafe conditions they could need to be evidence in a picture requirement, electrical notices, mitigating actions and the isolator left in the off position again evidence that the installer has implemented all neccessary controls
- Data flows (currently not assigned to SIP activity) possibly....
- Route to report to DNO or supplier depending on where issue is. Clarity on ownership boundaries
- Existing reporting codes
- On the currently non-existent centralised system 😊
- Crucial we have one universal and easy to use mechanism.

How should the information about failed tests or unsafe conditions be recorded and reported? (3/3)

0 0 8

- Standard dno codes and the reporting requirements laid out
- Standardised safety notices (seperate to EICR)
- It depends on the failure and point of failure

Are there any other points that have not been mentioned about equipment and testing you would like to add?

003

- Procedure before removing fuse should include Touch voltage of exposed/extraneous-conductive parts, Diverted currents, leakage currents etc and polarity (understanding fully the limitations of GS 38 voltage indicators and testing equipment.
- Will this require an industry standard procedure?
- As per Adams comment also ensuring awareness of cross polarity on pme cutout providing false positives on testing
- Not currently

If a DNO begins to utilise new versions of equipment, how should this be notified to relevant parties (SIPs/MEMs)?

004

- Metering Hub
- Through their REC management correspondance
- Through REC
- REC Technical Briefs
- Inform RECCo to update cutout glossary

Multiple choice poll

What form of sealing methodology should be utilised by the SIP?

0 0 9

Existing system



67 %

Separate system for standalone SIPs



33 %