RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

REFERENCE	RECCHIG_24_0801_06
STATUS	FOR DISCUSSION

ERDS/CSS DISCREPANCIES (MHHS CR-045)

BY GEMMA DIXON

1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this paper is to provide the Change Issues Group with a summary of: *ERDS/CSS Discrepancies (MHHS CR-045).*

This has not yet been raised as a REC Issue or Change, however RECCo is planning to make a submission, following the outcome of feedback from this group on the most appropriate way forward.

2 BACKGROUND

- There is currently a tool utilised by DNOs to correct registration data to align the Registered Supplier in ERDS with the data held in CSS.
- The Market-Wide Half Hourly Settlement (MHHS) Design does not allow for retrospective amendment of Supplier Registration.
- This will prevent the Supplier from appointing agents and will lead to errors in settlement data under the BSC.
- MHHS Programme CR045 was raised to introduce a tool allowing DNOs to retrospectively correct data under the MHHS design and notifications to be issued to market participant and Elexon central systems.
- CR045 was rejected by the MHHS Programme as they could not implement ahead of M10 (MHHS go live) and was passed to code bodies to progress.
- RECCo is planning to raise a submission into the REC to move this forward but can only cover the correction of data within the Electricity Retail Data Service (ERDS). A parallel BSC Change Proposal will be required to cover the wider solution where notifications will be issued via the Data Integration Platform (DIP).
- Discussions have started between RECCo, Elexon, MHHS Programme and St Clements and being shared at CCSG for info only.

3 IMPACTS

Following the MHHS Programme rejection of CR045, RECCo has assessed REC impacts:

The Switching Arrangements are based on the principle that CSS is the master of Switching Registration data, therefore all Switching Data Service Providers should reflect the Supplier Registration held by the CSS.

Pre-MHHS, there is a mechanism in place to ensure that missing Supplier Registrations are reflected in ERDS should the systems become misaligned. MHHS removes this mechanism with no manual work around to correct data.

Under MHHS arrangements a missing Supplier Registration will prevent Supplier Agents from being appointed. From a REC perspective this relates to MOAs and would prevent metering activity from being carried out.

Whilst MHHS CR045 covered additional messaging to parties to de-appoint erroneous agents and to inform market participants of the revised Supplier Registration, these are out of scope for the REC. We would expect an equivalent change to be progressed under the BSC to include these changes.

Should the REC change be implemented without the changes to SMRS requirements (issuing the IF001 and IF037) we would expect the missed Supplier Registration to become effective retrospectively and the Supplier to appoint the required Supplier Agents without relying on the IF001. The Supplier should be aware of the Registration based on CSS messages and would likely be managing lapsed Supplier Agent appointment requests. However, this would not enable settlement data to be corrected.

The current mechanism for manual correction of Supplier Registration data is not defined in the REC. Therefore, given the position set out within the MHHS Design which prevents this data being updated retrospectively, we are proposing the following changes.

4 PROPOSAL

The current mechanism for manual correction of Supplier Registration data is not defined in the REC. Therefore, given the position set out within the MHHS Design which prevents this data being updated retrospectively, we are proposing the following changes.

ERDS Service Definition

Paragraph 11.5 currently states that: Upon receipt of a Market Message that indicates / infers a data inconsistency with the CSS, the ERDA will initiate the required steps to determine the necessary resolution.

REC CP to add addition statement that:
The ERDA shall develop and maintain a tool to enable Registration data to be manually corrected within ERDS where this is inconsistent with the CSS.

Meter Operations Schedule

This Schedule is undergoing significant change to support MHHS. Section 22 within the MHHS drafting, includes the new process for managing MOA appointments for MHHS Metering Points.

REC CP to add to paragraph 22.6.18, 22.9.23 and 22.11.23:

An MOA Appointment request may be lapsed where a valid Registration is not reflected within SMRS. Once this discrepancy is resolved, the Electricity Supplier shall issue a new MOA Appointment request.

4.1 WIDER INDUSTRY SOLUTION – (OUT OF SCOPE FOR REC CHANGE)

A change in the REC can only cover the correction of data within ERDS. A BSC change will need to be raised to deliver the CR45 proposed changed. CR045 proposed that following the retrospective creation of the missed Supplier Registration, the following messages should be issued.

- **IF001** - to be issued to the Gaining Supplier, LDSO (optional) and MDS informing them of the Supplier Registration within SMRS. Losing Supplier should have received the CSS loss notification
- **IF037** - to be issued to Losing Supplier Agents with De-App reason CSUPP, effective to date off SSD -1
- **IF031** - Gaining Supplier initiates new Supplier Agent appointment using CSP scenario. Standard retrospective appointment process applies with exchange of reads and MTDs.

5 NEXT STEPS

Change Issue Group to consider the solution in the REC and whether it should be reliant on an aligned progression with an associated BSC Change.

6 ACTIONS

Change Issues Group Members are invited to:

- **NOTE** the contents of the paper; and
- **DISCUSS** their feedback.