RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

REFERENCE	RECCHIG_24_0905_05
STATUS	FOR DISCUSSION

I0193 - ADD ENUMERATION VALUES TO THE DI51035 COMMUNICATIONS PROVIDER DATA ITEM

BY CAROLINE FREIMULLER

1 PURPOSE

The purpose of this paper is to provide information on I0193 - Add Enumeration Values to the DI51035 - Communications Provider Data Item to the Change Issues Group, and gather feedback from the group on the questions in section 3.

2 BACKGROUND AND SOLUTION

I0193 - Add Enumeration Values to the DI51035 - Communications Provider Data Item has been raised by ELEXON, as they are removing the valid list of Communication Providers from Market Domain Data (MDD) as part of the P442 solution. In order for this list to not be removed entirely from industry, they have proposed it is added as enumeration values to the DI51035 (J1709) Communication Provider Data Item.

The proposed list is in the table below and has no changes to what is currently held in MDD.

Enumeration Value	Enumeration Description
ASLH	ASL Holdings Limited
BTEL	BT
CUST	Dummy ID for Customers
ONGE	Orange Limited
OTWO	O2
ROAM	Dummy ID for Roaming SIMs
TMOB	T-Mobile

The Communication Provider Data Item is found in the following data flows/market messages:

- MM00156 / D0268 – Half Hourly Meter Technical Details
- MM00260 / D0313 – Auxiliary Meter Technical Details

ELEXON have raised as part of the proposal that the June 2025 release is the latest this should go live. However, the Code Manager is exploring whether a February 2025 release is possible to align with the implementation of P442.

As this is a relatively simple change, the Code Manager is in the process of determining whether to move straight to Consultation and have no Party impact assessment. We would appreciate the views of the Change Issues Group on this.

3 QUESTIONS FOR THE CHANGE ISSUES GROUP

The Code Manager would like the opinion of the Change Issues Group on the following questions:

- Do Parties foresee any potential issues, data cleanse activity or system updates which may be required from adding enumeration values to this data item?
- Is this change simple enough Parties would be happy for the Code Manager to proceed straight to consultation, or would they feel a Party impact assessment is appropriate?
- Would Parties be happy with a February 2025 release (which the Code Manager estimates would be ~3 months between the final vote and implementation), or would June 2025 release be more appropriate for a data flow change?