RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

01	Proposal Plan
02	Impact Assessment
03	Consultation
04	Final Recommendation

CHANGE REPORT

R0122 SDEP REVIEW IMPROVEMENTS (2) - USABILITY

DOCUMENT VERSION	1.0
PROPOSED IMPLEMENTATION DATE	TBC
IMPLEMENTATION APPROACH	TBC

RESPONSIBLE COMMITTEE	TECHNICAL EXPERT PANEL	CHANGE PATH	SELF- GOVERNANCE
PRIORITY STATUS	MEDIUM	URGENCY	STANDARD
IMPACTED STAKEHOLDERS	Energy Suppliers, DNOs		

LEAD ANALYST	TECHNICAL LEAD (SOLUTION LEAD)	SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT
HOLLY LAW	KEISHA PATEL	LORNA MALLON
Click to send an email	Click to send an email	Click to send an email

OVERVIEW

Following a comprehensive review, the Code Manager has identified functionality improvements with the Secure Data Exchange Portal (SDEP) system.

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

CONTENTS

1 Summary 3
2 Problem Statement 3
3 Impacts 3
4 Cross-Code Impacts 3
5 Proposal plan 4
6 Stakeholder Engagement 4
7 Priority Status and Urgency 4
8 Appendix 5
9 Glossary 5

RETAIL ENERGY CODE

BACKGROUND

1 SUMMARY

Following a comprehensive review, the Code Manager has identified functionality improvements with the SDEP system. These will introduce useability improvements to the system, to allow users to better filter workflow and queries and to improve notifications received by Parties, to enable resolution of raised issues. Feedback from SDEP users stated that filtering options are limited to particular attributes and notification emails contain minimal supportive information for the parent communication.

2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Market Participants require functionality that improves efficiency, reporting and allows Consumer issues to be addressed sooner and more accurately.

3 IMPACTS

REC SERVICE PROVIDERS
SDEP Service Provider

REC PARTIES AND SERVICE USERS
Energy Suppliers
Distribution Network Operators
Gas Transporters (tbc)

REC DOCUMENTS/ PRODUCTS
Secure Data Exchange Service Definition
Data Specification
SDEP User Guide

MHHS DESIGN
No Impact

MHHS DATA SPECIFICATION
No Impact

MHHS CODE DRAFTING
No Impact

There will be no impacts to Market-wide Half-Hourly Settlement as the intention is to implement post M8/M10, as this will be after the implementation of the new ECOES platform that is required for MHHS.

4 CROSS-CODE IMPACTS

There are no Cross- Code impacts.

5 PROPOSAL PLAN

Milestone	Activity	From	To
Definition		**01 Apr-25**	**31 Aug-25**
	Solution Analysis	April	June
	Solution Development	April	June
	Service Provider Impact Assessment	June	August

R0122 was initially raised in May 2023, but was impacted by the MHHS programme change freeze due to the capacity of the SDEP Service Provider to contribute to solution development and provide impact assessments, alongside MHHS implementation activities and the validity of a commercial impact assessment with a long lead time.

The SDEP Service Provider is now in a position to contribute to solution development and to impact assess, so we are progressing this change.

The Proposal Plan runs as far as the end of Service Provider impact assessment, once this has been completed, we will provide an update to the plan with next steps, including the proposed solution for Party impact assessment.

6 STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Stakeholder engagement was carried out before the change was raised in May 2023 as part of a wider SDEP review. Workshops were help with SDEP users who developed the requirements for this change.

These requirements are going to be taken back to the June Change Issues Group to confirm that these are all still needed and relevant, before a Service Provider impact assessment is issued.

7 PRIORITY STATUS AND URGENCY

Category	Significance / Resource impacts / Urgency	Further selection / breakdown	Score (0-3)	Weighting	Weighted Score
Impacts	Consumer Impact	Direct	1	27	27
	Retail Markets Impact	Retail Risk(s)	1	17	17
	RECCo / REC Party / REC Service Provider Impact	Operational Effectiveness and Efficiencies	2	7	13
		Legal and Regulatory Compliance	1	14	14
Resource	Complexity / Effort / Duration		2	9	18
Strategic Alignment	Contribution to/ Alignment with the objectives of the REC		2	17	17
	Contribution to/ Alignment with RECCo's Forward Work Plan		0		0
Urgency *(The need to progress a change to meet a targeted date)*		Aligned to planned Code Roadmap activity	2	10	20
				Overall Priority Score (rounded)	126

Priority Status	MEDIUM
Change Proposal Type	STANDARD

8 APPENDIX

CHANGE PROPOSAL	Link

9 GLOSSARY

TERM	DEFINITION
Secure Data Exchange Portal	Means the portal of that name which forms part of the Secure Data Exchange Service.