RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.
Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

REC CHANGE PANEL MEETING
MEETING MINUTES

MEETING	**RECCP_26_0721**
DATE	**21 July 2026**
LOCATION	**By teleconference**

Attendee	Representing	Initials	Role
Lindsay Biginton	Retail Energy Code Company	LB	Chair
Komal Brown	Domestic Suppliers	KB	Voting Member
Andy Clasper	Gas Transporters	AC	Voting Member
Martin Brailsford	Metering Equipment Managers	MB	Voting Member
Sarah Jones	Independent SME	SJ	Voting Member
Keith Foster	Independent SME	KF	Voting Member
Seb Eyre	Independent SME	SE	Voting Member
Ellie Osobina	Authority	EO	Authority Representative
Caroline Freimuller	Code Manager	CF	Senior Change Lead
Bela Ashworth	Code Manager	BA	Change Lead
Francine Peyroux-Sissoko	Code Manager	FPS	Change Lead
Talia Lattimore	Code Manager	TL	Change Lead
Poppy Mullins	Code Manager	PM	Change Lead
Harriet Truss	Code Manager	HT	Subject Matter Expert
Ann Perry	Retail Energy Code Company	AP	Observer
Jon Hawkins	Retail Energy Code Company	JH	Director of Market Design
Rachael Anderson	Retail Energy Code Company	RA	Director of Corporate Affairs
Harry Firth	Code Manager	HF	Secretariat

1 INTRODUCTIONS

The Chair welcomed attendees to the meeting of the REC Change Panel (RECCP). There were apologies received from Ben Atkinson (Domestic Suppliers) and Jess Collins (Consumers) It was noted that Komal Brown would be acting as the alternate for Ben Atkinson. Absences were noted for Alex Travell (DNOs) and Harry Hailwood (Non Domestic Suppliers).

2 CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA

The Chair invited any additional items of business for consideration during the meeting. No further items of business were raised.

3 APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

RECCP Members unanimously approved the draft minutes from the previous meeting held on 07 July 2026. The approved minutes would be published as 'final' and made available via the REC Portal.

4 CODE GOVERNANCE ARRANGEMENTS CHANGES AND TRANSITIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

RA introduced the session, explaining that RECCo have been reviewing REC governance arrangements to ensure alignment with Ofgem's new Code Manager licensing framework. The session took Change Panel Members through the proposed governance changes and the transition approach ahead of the anticipated licence grant date in late November.

JH outlined the rationale behind Code reform, noting that government and Ofgem are seeking greater consistency across energy Code governance arrangements, stronger coordination across the energy sector, and a shift from industry-led code administration to licensed Code Managers with greater decision-making responsibility. While decision making will transfer from Panels to the Code Manager, industry expertise will remain fundamental to modification development and decision making.

JH advised that the review of REC governance arrangements concluded that:

- The Change Panel will ultimately close, with its functions being split between the Code Manager (who will have ultimate decision-making responsibilities) and the Stakeholder Advisory Forum (SAF).
- The Performance Assurance Board (PAB) will continue to operate and retain its decision-making responsibilities relating to Performance Assurance. However, decisions on REC Change Proposals will be moved to the responsibility of the licensed Code Manager.
- The Metering Expert Panel (MEP) and Technical Expert Panel (TEP) will be closed because their principal decision-making functions will no longer be required.

JH stressed that expertise remains central to the new model. Industry expertise currently provided through MEP and TEP will continue to be accessed through alternative mechanisms, including operational forums and Change-specific working groups. The new Modification process requires workgroups by default unless a justification can be made that one is unnecessary. Consequently, industry engagement will continue through workgroups, standing forums and targeted consultations rather than relying exclusively on the SAF.

JH explained that the harmonised modification process introduced through Code reform will separate the management of Issues from formal Modification proposals. Existing governance structures will be affected as decision-making powers move away from industry panels and towards the Code Manager.

JH advised on the decisions made in the review on the other existing REC committees:

For metering governance, it was noted that almost all matters considered by MEP and the associated Category 3 documents they are responsible for are fuel specific. RECCo therefore believes that the existing Gas Operational Metering Forum and Electricity Operational Metering Forum provide a more appropriate route for future engagement than retaining a dual-fuel expert panel. These forums are

expected to remain but may need to meet more frequently than the current quarterly cadence to support Modification development activities.

It was noted that most technical expertise is currently provided through working groups and issue discussions rather than through TEP meetings themselves. As a result, RECCo's initial view is that a separate standing technical forum is unlikely to be required at licence go-live, as specific working groups for technical changes will be established where needed.

Both existing Issues groups (Change Issues Group and REC Issues Group) remain necessary under the future model, although clearer naming conventions are being considered. The proposal is for the current Change Issues Group to become the mandated Pre-Modification Forum under the harmonised process. The current REC Issues Group to continue managing operational issue not related to ongoing Modifications and will be renamed the Operational Issues Forum, and opened to all interested persons rather than only REC Parties.

The review also considered ownership and governance of Category 3 Documents. Although decision making on most of these documents will move to the Code Manager, engagement routes will remain. Most Category 3 documents currently overseen by MEP are fuel specific, supporting the proposal to utilise the Operational Metering Forums as the future engagement route. For technical documents currently overseen by TEP, including CSS business logic documentation, the default engagement route would be the SAF unless a more suitable forum is identified in future. JH noted that RECCo intends to have a separate discussion with MEP regarding the Service Termination Issue Reporting Guidance (STIRG), recognising its significance and the historical debate surrounding its governance.

SJ sought clarification regarding assurance-related Category 3 documents such as the Performance Assurance Methodology. Jonathan confirmed that these would remain under PAB governance, with the PAB continuing to exercise delegated authority from the Code Manager.

JH set out the proposed transition approach, assuming a licence go-live date of 30 November 2026. They confirmed that existing governance arrangements remain in force until the official transition date. At the same time, RECCo intends to establish and engage the SAF before go-live so that it is operational from day one.

Three key transition issues were identified:

- The point at which new Modification proposals begin using the new process.
- The last opportunity for existing Issues to be converted into Change Proposals under the current arrangements.
- The handling of Change Proposals already in flight when the new framework commences.

RECCo's preferred approach is:

- New Change Proposals can continue entering the existing process until approval of an Initial Assessment Report by the Change Panel.
- Any issue not converted into a Change Proposal before the cutover date would remain under the new issues process and require closure of the legacy issue before a new Modifications is raised (if required).
- Existing Change Proposals should ideally complete their consultation and final decision stages under a single governance framework.

To avoid complications, JH suggested that draft change reports should generally be approved sufficiently early to allow consultation, response assessment and final report publication for review by no later than the final Panel meeting prior to transition. Using the current planning assumptions, this would indicate that where Change Panel is the Responsible Sub-Committee draft reports should normally be approved by around mid-September if they are to complete under the legacy arrangements. Further dates for MEP, TEP and PAB were provided. They stressed that panels would retain discretion to request further work where required and that exceptions could be accommodated for urgent Modifications, although these should be treated as exceptional cases.

JH concluded by seeking Change Panel support for the proposed governance and transition approach. Subject to feedback, RECCo will:

- Review the existing Modification pipeline against the transition timetable.
- Engage with the MEP and TEP at their August meetings on the proposed changes.
- Discuss governance reforms and SAF arrangements at the stakeholder webinar scheduled for the 29 July 2026.
- Deliver a dedicated webinar on the new harmonised modification process and its practical implications for REC Parties, which is expected to be scheduled for October or November 2026.
- Continue developing detailed governance arrangements ahead of Code Manager licence implementation.

The Change Panel provided no further comments on the proposed REC governance arrangements. JH encouraged Members to reach out if they had any questions after the meeting.

5 R0330 - USE OF REL DATA BY THE AUTHORITY

As the Lead Analyst for R0330, BA provided an overview of the Change Proposal.

BA explained that R0330 supports Ofgem's role as administrator of the forthcoming Bill Discount Scheme, which is due to go live in October 2026 and will provide benefits to consumers located near new electricity transmission infrastructure. Currently, the REC restricts use of Retail Energy Location (REL) address data to switching purposes only. Following Ofgem's appointment as Scheme Administrator, the Authority requires broader access to REL data, including the ability to search by Unique Property Registration Number (UPRN) through the Enquiry Service Application Programming Interface (API) and match addresses with Meter Point Administration Numbers (MPANs) to facilitate scheme delivery.

BA went through the proposed solution, which amends several REC documents, including Schedule 29 (Address Management), the Central Switching Service (CSS) Definition Document, the Enquiry Service Definition Document, and the Electricity Data Access Matrix (DAM). The key change is to provide Ofgem with explicit permission to access and use REL address data for purposes consistent with its Ordnance Survey licence and beyond switching-only activities. Other REC Parties will remain subject to the existing restrictions limiting REL data usage to switching purposes.

BA explained that the change delivers clear legal drafting while enabling Ofgem to administer the Bill Discount Scheme and support future government initiatives requiring similar address-matching capabilities. No central system costs or implementation costs for Parties were identified. An extraordinary release on 31 July 2026 is proposed to ensure readiness ahead of the scheme implementation timetable. BA noted that a change to the legal drafting had been made since the consultation, where a typo identified by a Party had been corrected.

BA advised that five consultation responses were received, with all respondents in support of the Change Proposal, the proposed solution, the assessed costs and benefits, and implementation date. One

respondent was generally supportive of the proposed legal text, with the caveat that they believed the proposed amendments to Schedule 29, clause 1.4 were too broad compared to the proposed amendments to the Electricity Enquiry Service (EES) Service Definition. However, following further engagement with the REC legal advisors and Proposer, the Code Manager determined the amendments to Schedule 29 are sufficient to confirm that Ofgem has access to REL data for purposes beyond switching, and no further changes are necessary.

KB noted their involvement in the Bill Discount Scheme and highlighted the importance of ensuring address and cross-metering issues are considered when identifying eligible customers. They suggested that RECCo could separately provide Ofgem with information regarding known address and cross-metering issues, given the need to ensure benefit payments are directed to the correct customers over the life of the scheme. KB suggested analysis of existing issue volumes could assist Ofgem in understanding any potential data quality risks. BA thanked KB for the observation.

RECCP Members reviewed the Change Report for R0330; and

- **AGREED** unanimously to approve the proposed solution;
- **AGREED** unanimously to approve the proposed implementation date of 31 July 2026; and
- **AGREED** unanimously to approve the proposed implementation approach of Big Bang.

6 I0325 – POST-MHHS FULL TRANSITION COMPLETE (M15) REC UPDATES

As the Lead Analyst for I0325, FPS provided an overview of the Issue.

FPS explained that I0325 seeks to review and remove redundant legacy and transitional provisions within the REC that were introduced to support the implementation of Market-wide Half-Hourly Settlement (MHHS). The issue recognises that once MHHS implementation is fully complete, retaining transitional obligations that are no longer required could create unnecessary complexity for market participants and lead to confusion over which obligations remain in force.

The proposed approach is to undertake a comprehensive review of REC legal drafting, REC-governed products, and Category 2 and Category 3 documents to identify provisions that have become obsolete following MHHS migration. The review will also determine the appropriate timing for their removal, with the current expectation that changes would take effect after Milestone 15 (M15), scheduled for 7 May 2027, when MHHS transition activities are expected to be fully completed. Any impacts on other industry Codes and REC services will also be assessed to ensure coordinated implementation.

FPS advised that I0325 is a non-urgent Self-Governance Issue, with the Change Panel as the Responsible Committee. Identified impacted Parties include Electricity Consumers, Automated Meter Reading (AMR) Service Providers, Data Aggregators, Data Collectors, Data Services, EES Users, Switching Operators, Third Party Intermediaries (TPIs), Distribution Network Operators (DNOs), Suppliers, Meter Asset Managers (MAMs) and Metering Equipment Managers (MEMs).

FPS advised that the Code Manager have proposed I0325 be given a Priority Status of High. The Issue has a strong alignment to the SDS as it strongly supports the successful rollout of MHHS. I0325 has medium importance as it delivers useful but broadly comparable benefits to other Standard changes and medium complexity as the scope of the solution is broad and requires a certain amount of time to work through each element and REC governed product effectively and ensure adequate Cross-Code coordination.

FPS presented the milestones in the Proposal Plan. They advised that this does not include a Party impact assessment because no impact or operational changes required by REC Parties are expected.

REC Parties will be able to engage and submit views as part of the industry consultation that will be issued once the definition of this Issue has been finalised.

Milestone	Activity	From	To
Definition		**30 Apr-26**	**30 Oct-26**
	Solution Analysis	April	August
	Stakeholder Engagement	August	September
	Solution Development	August	October
	Legal Review	September	October

RECCP Members noted the contents of the Change Report and the Issue background; and

- **AGREED** unanimously to approve the Issue pathway and;
- **AGREED** unanimously to approve the Issue timetable for I0325.

7 R0328 – REMOVAL OF D0383 & D0384 REQUIREMENTS FROM SHARING MTDS PROCESS

As the Lead Analyst for R0328, CF provided an overview of the Change Proposal.

CF explained that R0328 is looking to correct drafting errors introduced during the implementation of MHHS. R0328 relates to provisions within Schedule 14 (Metering Arrangement Schedule) and Schedule 34 (MHHS Migration) that currently require Metering Operator Agents (MOAs) to send a D0383 'Notification of Commissioning Information' to Electricity Suppliers, DNOs, Data Services and Data Collectors in certain process steps. CF explained that these recipients did not receive these Market Messages under legacy arrangements, nor are they required to receive them under enduring MHHS arrangements. The obligations were therefore introduced inadvertently during MHHS legal drafting and have since been recorded on the MHHS Design Issues Notification (DIN) log for correction following implementation. There are similar requirements to send the D0384 'Notification of Commissioning Status' to these Parties, when it is only required by the Electricity Supplier.

CF noted that the issue is purely a drafting correction. In practice, MOAs would be unable to comply with the current obligations even if they attempted to do so, as the required Scenario Variants do not exist within the Energy Market Data Specification (EMDS) to facilitate transmission of the relevant flows to those Parties. Additionally, while commissioning status notifications are currently described as being sent to multiple Parties, only Electricity Suppliers have a genuine requirement to receive the information.

CF advised that R0328 is a non-urgent Self-Governance Change Proposal, with the Metering Expert Panel (MEP) proposed as the Responsible Committee. Identified impacted Parties include Electricity Suppliers, DNOs, MOAs, Data Services and Data Collectors, although CF noted that the impact on these Parties will be minimal.

The Code Manager have proposed R0328 be given a Priority Status of Standard. The Issue has a weak alignment to the SDS. R0328 has medium importance as it delivers useful but broadly comparable benefits to other Standard changes and low complexity, as it was submitted with a simple and fully formed solution with limited Party impact.

CF presented the milestones in the Proposal Plan. They advised that the Code Manages proposes this Change Proposal should proceed directly to consultation, due to the low Party impact. R0328 will be presented at the Change Issues Group meeting in August or September, and at the August MEP meeting.

Milestone	Activity	From	To
Change Proposal		**24 Jun 26**	**13 Oct 26**
	Code Manager Initial Assessment	June	July
	Business Case Assessment	July	August
	Consultation	August	September
	Solution Refinement	September	October
	Final Determination	October	October
Voting		**14 Oct 26**	**14 Oct 26**
	Appeal Window	15 Oct 26	29 Oct 26

RECCP Members noted the contents of the Change Report and the Change Proposal background; and

- **AGREED** unanimously to approve the Change Proposal change path, urgency, prioritisation category and responsible committee and;
- **AGREED** unanimously to approve the Change Proposal timetable for R0328.

8 I0274 - GAS PRESSURE AND CAPACITY INFORMATION TRANSPARENCY

As the Lead Analyst for I0274, TL provided an overview of the Issue.

TL explained that for some Gas Suppliers and MAMs, retrieving pressure and capacity information can be difficult and time consuming, which has negative impacts on Consumers waiting on site work. I0274 is seeking to make gas supply capacity and pressure tier data available on the Gas Enquiry Service (GES) to MAMs and Gas Suppliers to allow for the data to be obtained more efficiently.

TL provided a rationale for the proposed replan. They advised that since the previous replan was approved by the Change Panel via ex-committee in February, the Code Manager have continued work on the background of the Issue, and carried out direct Party engagement, as well as engagement with the GES Provider. However, progress was paused at the request of the Proposer due to capacity constraints, and an approval of the replan will allow work to recommence on the Issue.

TL presented the proposed replanned milestones in the Proposal Plan. They advised that the remaining solution development activity is focused primarily on agreeing the specific data items that should be made available and confirming these with the Proposer. To accommodate stakeholder availability during the summer holiday period, additional time has been built into the plan, with solution development now expected to conclude by the end of September, or earlier if possible.

Following completion of solution development, a GES Impact Assessment will be undertaken. The timetable has been extended to allow additional engagement with impacted parties between the first and second impact assessments. TL noted that this is particularly important because Gas Transporters (GTs) will be impacted by the changes, and the Code Manager wants to ensure sufficient operational detail is available as party of any stakeholder feedback.

Additional time has also been allowed for Party Impact Assessment activities because parts of the process will fall within the winter holiday period. This will ensure stakeholders have adequate opportunity to review findings, provide feedback, and participate in any follow-up engagement before the issue proceeds to consultation. Beyond these timetable adjustments, the remaining stages of the change process are expected to follow the standard pathway.

Milestone	Activity	From	To	From	To
Definition		**03-Nov-25**	**31-Jul-26**	**03-Nov-25**	**28-Feb-27**
	Solution Analysis	Completed	Completed	Completed	Completed
	Solution Development	Jan 26	Mar 26	Jan 26	Sep 26
	GES Impact Assessment	Mar 26	May 26	Sep 26	Nov 26
	Party Impact Assessment	May 26	Jun 26	Nov 26	Jan 27
	Business Case Assessment	Jul 26	Jul 26	Feb 27	Feb 27
	Solution Refinement	Jul 26	Jul 26	Feb 27	Feb 27
Change Proposal		**01-Aug-26**	**30-Sep-26**	**01-Mar-27**	**19-Apr-27**
	Consultation	Aug 26	Aug 26	Mar 27	Mar 27
	Final Determination	Sep 26	Sep 26	Apr 27	Apr 27
Voting		**01-Sep-26**	**30-Sep-26**	**20-Apr-27**	**20-Apr-27**
	Appeal Window	16-Sep-26	30-Sep-26	20-Apr-27	05-May-27

RECCP Members noted the revised Change Plan; and

- **AGREED** unanimously to approve revised Change Plan for I0274.

9 I0278 - ESTABLISHING THE SAFE ISOLATION PROVIDER (SIP) AS A STANDALONE REC PARTY

As the Lead Analyst for I0278, FPS provided an overview of the Issue.

FPS explained that I0278 seeks to establish Safe Isolation Providers (SIPs) as a standalone REC Party category. The proposal is intended to align REC arrangements with Ofgem's Strategic Direction Statement, improve access to accredited SIP services, and address several safety, operational and market concerns arising from the current framework.

I0278 aims to resolve risks associated with the existing arrangements, including potential Consumer and practitioner safety concerns, inequities created by the current two-tier model, barriers to competition, and delays that may affect wider decarbonisation activities. To address these challenges, the proposed solution would create a dedicated SIP participant category within the REC, supported by a direct accession route, streamlined accreditation arrangements, and defined governance and oversight mechanisms.

FPS reminded the Panel that an initial extension to the timetable was approved in January 2026 to allow further solution development. Since then, the Code Manager has undertaken extensive stakeholder engagement, including discussions with trade associations, industry information requests and a programme of working groups. Three working groups have already been completed, focusing respectively on the overall framework, the scope of activities that standalone SIPs would undertake, and equipment and testing requirements.

FPS presented the proposed replanned milestones in the Proposal Plan. As solution development progressed, RECCo concluded that additional working groups would be required to fully develop the detailed requirements needed to establish SIPs as a standalone REC Party. The programme has therefore been expanded to include a total of seven working groups. The remaining sessions, scheduled between August and November 2026, will focus on safety requirements, interference and sealing activities, reporting and documentation obligations, auditing arrangements, training requirements and accession arrangements. The purpose of these additional sessions is to ensure all aspects of the

proposed framework are thoroughly considered and that robust solution requirements are developed before drafting legal text.

Following completion of the working groups, the timetable includes a period of further solution refinement during December 2026 and January 2027, followed by legal drafting activities through to January 2027. RECCo also intends to hold one or two industry webinars to update stakeholders on progress and gather wider feedback on the developing solution.

FPS advised that the Code Manager expects to return to the responsible committee in February 2027 with a more developed proposal. They also noted that the Code Manager is working closely with Ofgem and RECCo's governance reform programme to ensure the work aligns with the forthcoming Code Manager model and future governance arrangements under Code reform. The structure and operation of the planned working groups have therefore been designed with the anticipated future governance framework in mind.

Milestone	Activity	From	To	From	To
Definition		**01 Oct-25**	**31 Jan-26**	**01 Oct-25**	**16-Feb-27**
	Solution Analysis	October	December	**Complete**	**Complete**
	Engagement with Trade Associations	N/A	N/A	**Complete**	**Complete**
	Engagement with Responsible Committee	October	~~December~~	Oct 25	Nov 26
	Solution Development	~~October~~	~~January~~	Oct 25	Nov 26
	Working group	N/A	N/A	Mar 26	Nov 26
	Legal Drafting	~~October~~	~~January~~	Sep 26	Jan 27
	Solution Refinement	N/A	N/A	Dec 26	Jan 27
	Update to Responsible Committee	~~January~~	~~January~~	Feb 27	Feb 27

MB highlighted the significant complexity and breadth of the proposal, noting that it covers a wide range of technical, governance and safety-related considerations. They questioned whether the proposed timescales provided sufficient contingency and sought reassurance that the programme was not overly ambitious given the complexity of the change. FPS acknowledged the challenge of balancing timely progression with ensuring the solution is developed thoroughly. They explained that approximately three to four weeks had been allocated between each working group to allow adequate consideration of individual topics and progression between sessions. They also noted that, following completion of the working groups in November, additional time had been incorporated for solution refinement and legal drafting through to February. MB welcomed this approach, stressing the importance of maintaining a careful balance between keeping momentum and avoiding the risk of rushing a complex change that has potentially significant safety implications.

SE echoed these observations regarding the scale and complexity of the issue. They also raised concerns about stakeholder engagement, questioning whether organisations that could potentially become future standalone SIPs were sufficiently aware of the proposal and actively involved in discussions. FPS responded that extensive industry engagement had already been undertaken through earlier information requests that were open to the wider market. This had generated interest from additional stakeholders and reinforced the value of broader engagement activities. They advised that RECCo was therefore planning to hold one or more webinars following the working group programme to update industry participants on progress and provide an opportunity for wider involvement and feedback beyond those directly participating in the working groups.

MB added that, outside of the formal REC engagement activities, some of their clients were already engaging directly with SIP organisations and discussing the proposed framework. They noted that efforts were underway to raise awareness across the sector and encourage broader participation in discussions regarding the future role of SIPs. While these activities would not remove all concerns regarding engagement, they considered them an important contribution to increasing awareness and supporting industry readiness. FPS welcomed these efforts and noted that industry bodies, including the AMO, were

already participating in the working group discussions. They confirmed that RECCo would continue to consider whether additional engagement mechanisms were needed as solution development progressed, whilst recognising that the immediate priority remained developing the detailed solution requirements through the working group programme.

RECCP Members noted the revised Change Plan; and

- **AGREED** unanimously to approve revised Change Plan for I0278.

10 I0292 - OBLIGATE ENERGY SUPPLIERS TO PROVIDE PHOTO EVIDENCE OF THEFT IN CONVEYANCE TO NETWORK PARTIES

As the Lead Analyst for I0292, CF provided an overview of the Issue.

CF explained that I0292 complements R0253, which will be implemented in November 2026. R0253 introduced an obligation on DNOs and GTs, on a best endeavours basis, to provide photographic evidence gathered during theft-related site visits to Energy Suppliers to support theft investigations. I0292 seeks to introduce the reciprocal arrangement. This would require that where Energy Suppliers undertake site visits relating to Theft in Conveyance, which is defined as theft where there is no associated MPxN, the = Energy Suppliers should provide any photographic evidence collected during those visits to the relevant Network Parties. The objective is to improve information sharing and support more effective investigation and resolution of theft in conveyance cases.

CF explained that the initial phase of the Issue focused on solution development and an information request to determine whether there was industry support for progressing the proposal. Responses demonstrated a clear benefit for Network Parties. DNOs and GTs indicated that receiving photographic evidence from Energy Supplier site visits would assist their activities, while Energy Suppliers advised that although they undertake relatively few Theft in Conveyance site visits, such visits do occur occasionally and therefore the obligation could still provide value. Based on stakeholder feedback, the Code Manager concluded that there is sufficient justification to develop the proposal further. The anticipated impact on Energy Suppliers is expected to be minimal due to the low volume of relevant site visits, and the proposed legal drafting has been intentionally aligned with the approach already approved under R0253.

CF presented the proposed updated milestones in the Proposal Plan. They advised that I0292 will now be following a standard Change Proposal timetable, including a Party Impact Assessment that is currently underway, and further stakeholder engagement at the August Change Issues Group meeting. They suggested that if possible, the final vote currently scheduled for December could come forward to align with the timetable presented by RECCo and the establishment of the SAF, depending on the Party Impact Assessment responses and any required solution refinement work.

DRAFT

Milestone	Activity	From	To	From	To
Definition		**03 Feb-26**	**15 May-26**	**03 Feb-26**	**25 Sep-26**
	Solution Analysis	February	March	Completed	Completed
	Solution Development	March	March	Completed	Completed
	Legal Review	March	April	Completed	Completed
	Information Request	March	April	Completed	Completed
	Information Request Review	April	May	Completed	Completed
	Party Impact Assessment	n/a	n/a	July	August
	Business Case Assessment	n/a	n/a	August	September
	Solution Refinement	n/a	n/a	August	September
Change Proposal		**n/a**	**n/a**	**26 Sep-26**	**30 Nov-26**
	Consultation	n/a	n/a	September	October
	Solution Refinement	n/a	n/a	October	November
	Final Determination	n/a	n/a	November	November
Voting		**n/a**	**n/a**	**01 Dec-26**	**01 Dec-26**
	Appeal Window	n/a	n/a	02 Dec-26	16 Dec-16

RECCP Members noted the revised Change Plan; and

- **AGREED** unanimously to approve revised Change Plan for I0292.

11 CHANGE PROPOSAL TRACKER

CF provided Members with an update on the Change Tracker.

CF advised that three new submissions has been reviewed by the Code Manager since the last Change Panel meeting:

- **Confirming electricity data flows are correctly described** - This submission has been rejected by the Code Manager. Following a critical friend review with the Proposer and a high level review of Electricity Market Messages, Data Items and their mandatory/conditional/optional status, no changes were identified by the Proposer.
- **D0225 Flows on Export Meters not always Needed - change to schedule 13** - This submission has been rejected by the Code Manager, due to mandatory information being missing from the submission form (SDS alignment, importance and complexity). The Proposer has been contacted 3 times for this information, and it has not been received. If and when the submission form is received with the information populated, this will be re-reviewed by the Code Manager. The Code Manager has also offered to assist the Proposer with populating this information if required.
- R0336 - Legal text to support REC Change R0180 - This Change Proposal was accepted in by the Code Manager on 20 July 2026.

CF provided an overview of further updates:

- I0218 - Proposal to Enable Broader Use of Retail Energy Location (REL) Data for Non-Switching Applications - On 20 July 2026, the Code Manager has issued a Service Provider Preliminary Impact Assessment to the EES Provider, to close 11 August 2026. This change seeks to enable broader access to REL data for authorised non-Switching purposes, whilst

simultaneously ensuring that access remains controlled, auditable and compliant with Ordnance Survey licensing requirements. The PIA will seek to define the tasks associated with the proposed solution, costs for design and the ROM costs for build and test, along with timescales associated for each phase in implementing this new functionality.

- **R0321 - DNOs Create Export MPANs after approving G98/99 commissioning documents -** This Change Proposal was due to be presented at Change Panel on 21 July 2026 but has been delayed so REC Performance Assurance can review any potential assurance requirements. The Change Report (For Consultation) remains on the portal for now, along with the updated proposed solution. These will be updated if and when required.

12 ANY OTHER BUSINESS

12.1 R0332 - AMEND THE REC TO PERMIT RECCO TO UNDERTAKE ADDITIONAL CODE MANAGER ACTIVITIES

As the lead analyst, BA provided an update on R0332, an Authority-determined Change Proposal that seeks to amend the REC to permit RECCo to undertake additional Code Manager activities. The change is being progressed in support of RECCo's application for the Electricity Commercial Code Manager (ECC) Licence.

BA advised that the legal text has now been finalised by RECCo's ECC project team and has undergone an initial review by Ofgem. Owing to the tight timescales associated with the ECC licence application process, R0332 is being progressed on an accelerated timetable. As the final legal text was only recently completed following engagement between RECCo and Ofgem, the associated change documentation was not available in time for inclusion within the papers issued for the current Change Panel meeting. Consequently, R0332 will be progressed via an extraordinary committee vote later in the week.

The immediate request through the extraordinary committee will be for approval to proceed directly to consultation. The legal text has already been published on the REC Portal, with the Change Report being issued for Panel members for consideration once it is finalised.

The current plan is for R0332 to return to the 18 August Change Panel meeting for final consideration and approval of the Change Report. This timing aligns with RECCo's wider ECC licence application programme, as the final recommendation will need to be available when the ECC licensing assessment is submitted to Ofgem. RECCo has advised that its submission deadline for the licensing process is 01 September 2026.

The Change Panel members had no questions on R0332.

There was no further business raised, and the Chair closed the meeting.

DRAFT