RETAIL ENERGY CODE

This document is classified as **WHITE**.

Information is for public, unrestricted dissemination and publication.

REC TARIFF INTEROPERABILITY SOLUTION WORKING GROUP – DESIGN ASSUMPTIONS TWO
MEETING MINUTES

MEETING	**RECTISWG_25_0612**
DATE	**12 June 2025, 13:00**
LOCATION	**By teleconference**

Attendee	Representing	Initials	Role
Chris Stock	Retail Energy Code Company	CS	Chair
Theo Burnard	Code Manager	TB	Secretariat
Nathan Gould	Retail Energy Code Company	NG	Committee Member
Rebecca Griffiths	Retail Energy Code Company	RG	Committee Member
Sarah Jones	Retail Energy Code Company	SJ	Committee Member
Andrew Wallace	Retail Energy Code Company	AW	Committee Member
Montana Aeberhardt	Ofgem	MAe	Committee Member
Peter Morgan	DESNZ	PM	Committee Member
Tamara Dimova	DESNZ	TD	Committee Member
Samuel Wroe	DESNZ	SW	Committee Member
Emma Johnson	Centrica	EJ	Committee Member
Gareth Evans	Walter Wye	GE	Committee Member
Aled Watkins	Kraken	AW	Committee Member
Lori Wilson	Kraken	LW	Committee Member
David Green	EDF Energy	DG	Committee Member
Richard Slack	Levelise	RS	Committee Member
Daniel Leyfeild	Levelise	DL	Committee Member
Bryan Crotaz	GivEnergy	BC	Committee Member
Swetta Coopamah	BEAMA	SC	Committee Member
Matt Roderick	SMS Energy	MR	Committee Member

Sam Stanhope	ESG Global	SS	Committee Member
Bryan Hale	Centrica	BH	Committee Member
Daniel Hollingworth	Ohme	DH	Committee Member
Ravikumar Kanani	SSE	RK	Committee Member

APOLOGIES

Mike Winter	SSE	MW	Committee Member

1. WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

The Chair opened the meeting by welcoming both existing and new attendees to the REC Tariff Interoperability Solution Working Group (TISWG) – Design Assumptions Two session.

2. CONFIRMATION OF THE AGENDA

The Chair presented an overview of the agenda, which included a recap of the previous two weeks and a presentation by KR on Route Two elements.

3. APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES AND REVIEW OF ACTIONS

The Code Manager noted that no comments had been received on the previous minutes ahead of the TISWG meeting, and no feedback was provided during the session. As such, the draft minutes from the meeting held on 4 June 2025 were approved as final.

The Code Manager reviewed the Propose to Close actions and invited comments from TISWG members. No comments were received, and the group agreed to close these actions.

4. RECAP OF PREVIOUS TISWGS AND CLARIFICATION OF PHASE ONE SCOPE AND TERMS

The Chair provided a brief recap of previous TISWG meetings, including Route One and Route Two processes for accessing tariff data, and invited TISWG Members to provide any feedback on today's session to committees@recmanager.co.uk

DESNZ (SW) led a discussion on the definition of bespoke non-domestic contracts and confirmed that this data does not need to be included in the Minimum Viable Product (MVP). DESNZ noted it consulted with Ofgem and GE to arrive at a definition of bespoke non-domestic contracts and shared with TISWG Members for feedback. DESNZ explained that the policy focuses on reaching a wide array of domestic consumers and micro-business customers.

DESNZ presented a document that outlined the requirements of Phase One and Phase Two, noting the document will be shortly uploaded to the REC Portal.

5. SOLUTION DEVELOPMENT: ROUTE TWO USER GOVERNANCE

KR presented a strawperson proposal for a user registration mechanism, including the creation of a central register of users, an access agreement to formalise participation, and a provision for RECCo to suspend access if the terms are breached. The group discussed the need for such a mechanism to provide assurance to both Suppliers and Users.

KR noted that a proof of concept will be demonstrated in the next TISWG meeting.

RECCo was requested to consider the following:

- Using crypto as a standardised system to suspend access in the strawman design.
- A manual intervention mechanism for registration.
- A fair and proper person test.

6. SOLUTION DEVELOPMENT: ROUTE TWO NOTIFICATIONS TO USERS

KR outlined three key events that would require suppliers to notify users via the API: changes in tariff value, a customer switching tariffs, and a change of supplier. TISWG Members emphasised the importance of real-time notifications and discussed the potential use of webhooks or similar technologies to support this functionality.

7. SOLUTION DEVELOPMENT: ROUTE TWO CONSENT MANAGEMENT

KR outlined how including MPXN data in the API response supports real-world configurations where customers have multiple MPXNs, ensuring that users can identify which tariff applies to which supply point. It also supports parameter-based consent and revocation.

KR presented a high-level approach to managing the consent mechanism, involves redirecting the customer to the supplier's login page, approving the sharing of details, and registering the user against the customer.

TISWG Members discussed the importance of maintaining accurate consent records and considered the proposed 12-month duration for consent.

KR explained that consent can be revoked either by the supplier or the user, and once requested, both parties must immediately record the consent as revoked and prevent any further notifications. TISWG Members emphasised the need for a user-friendly revocation process and discussed implementation options.

RECCo were requested to consider the language used for smart energy continued consent when deciding consent life span. RECCo were also requested to consider avoiding the term Consumer Consent for Tariff Interoperability Data. KR noted that the term Consent Mechanism will be used moving forward.

8. AOB

GE raised a concern regarding the classification of deemed contracts within the bespoke tariff definition. DESNZ (TD) requested that GE provide a written explanation of the use case so it could be reviewed and discussed further in future TISWG meetings.

DH queried whether the system might be classified as a large load controller and whether the Cyber Assessment Framework (CAF) would need to be incorporated into the system design.

Next meeting date: 19 June 2025